



PhD in Science of Professions –

MAIN SUPERVISOR'S SUGGESTED ASSESSMENT COMMITTEE FOR PhD THESIS

1. a) Candidate and proposer:

The candidate's name:	
The proposer's name:	

b) Thesis

(Working) Title:	
Summary (Max. 3000 characters w/o spaces – approximately one page):	

2. Proposed members (all of whom have been asked and have agreed to accept the assignment):

First opponent:	Name and title:
	Institution:
	Email address:
	Postal address (where to send the thesis):
Second opponent:	Name and title:
	Institution:
	Email address:
	Postal address (where to send the thesis):
Committee chair (internal):	Name and title:
	Faculty:
	Email address:
	Postal address (where to send the thesis):

3. Presentation of the proposed members (add a link to the official presentation at the institution's website, or attach a CV):

First opponent:	
Second opponent:	
Chair:	

4. State the reasons for the proposal and show how the committee as a whole covers the academic area of the thesis:

Reasons:	
-----------------	--

5. Do any of the proposed committee members have any previous experience in assessing theses? (Tick as appropriate)

Yes	<input type="checkbox"/>	If yes: To whom does this apply?	
No	<input type="checkbox"/>		

6. Proposed date for trial lecture and public defence (as agreed with the proposed committee members):

Date, alternative 1:	
Date, alternative 2:	

7. If the suggested composition of the assessment committee deviates from the criteria in [Section 14 of the PhD regulations](#), please specify the reason:

<p>Reason for waiving the criteria in Section 14 of the PhD regulations:</p>	
--	--

To the extent this could be verified, the above proposal complies with prevailing rules for impartiality and recognised academic practice (cf. the declaration of impartiality).

Date:

Signature:

.....